

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/751,072	EYCKERMAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Zachary C. Howard	1646	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

 (1) Zachary C. Howard.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Andrew F. Nilles.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 March 2005
**Time:** 6:15 pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

103(a) rejection pages 8-11 of Office Action mailed 2/8/2005

Claims discussed:

1-8, 11, 13, 15, and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

Eyckerman et al, WO 01/90188, U.S. Patent No. 5,885,779, and Nicholson et al 2000


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 ANTHONY C. CAPUTA  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

zch

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon review of the record, the rejection of claims 1-8, 11, 13, 15 and 16 under 103(a) as unpatentable over Eyckerman et al, WO 01/90188, in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,885,779 and further in view of Nicholson et al, 2000, as set forth on pages 8 to 11 of the Office Action mailed 2/08/2005 is withdrawn. If Applicant responds to the Office Action, Applicant does not need to respond to the 103(a) rejection. The remainder of the Office Action is maintained. Applicant's time period of response to the Office Action mailed 2/08/2005 is maintained from the mailing date of 2/08/2005.